```
1
           IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS
 2
 3
 4
    MOHAMAD E. TAHA (Deceased), )
 5
         and
                                  )
    SANAA M. YASSIN, his wife, ) Case No.
 6
 7
         Plaintiffs,
                          ) 17-1174T
 8
                                 )
                   vs.
 9
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                 )
10
        Defendant.
                                  )
11
12
13
                            Suite 716
14
            Howard T. Markey National Courts Building
15
                     717 Madison Place, N.W.
16
                         Washington, D.C.
                     Friday, December 6, 2019
17
18
                            2:30 p.m.
                             Hearing
19
20
21
22
             BEFORE: THE HONORABLE CHARLES F. LETTOW
23
24
```

Transcribed by: Matthew P. Tate, CERT

25

2

12/6/2019

1 APPEARANCES: 2 ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF: 3 ALI M. TAHA 4 247 Dove Trail 5 Bradenton, Florida 34212 6 (941) 896-3471 7 8 ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT: 9 ELIZABETH A. KANYER, ESQ. DAVID PINCUS, ESQ. 10 U.S. Department of Justice - Tax Division 11 12 Post Office Box 480 13 Ben Franklin Station 14 Washington, DC 20044 (202) 514-0600 / (202) 514-9440 (fax) 15 16 elizabeth.a.kanyer@usdoj.gov 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

3 12/6/2019

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Proceedings called to order, 2:29 p.m.)
4	THE COURT: Mr. Taha, would you identify
5	yourself for the record as the representative of the
6	Plaintiffs?
7	MR. TAHA: Yes, Your Honor. Ali Taha.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	And, Ms. Kanyer, would you identify yourself
10	for the record as counsel for the Government?
11	MS. KANYER: Elizabeth Kanyer for the United
12	States.
13	THE COURT: Thank you. We have in hand the
14	United States' motion in limine to exclude the testimony
15	of Joseph Dianto (phonetic). I'm not sure I'm
16	pronouncing that right.
17	MR. TAHA: Joseph Dianto.
18	THE COURT: Dianto?
19	MR. TAHA: Yes, sir. Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And the
21	United States' motion for an emergency hearing on the
22	subject, which the Court obviously has granted through
23	the scheduling of this conference.

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

24

25

the record.

Ms. Kanyer, would you restate your position for

12/6/2019

- 1 MS. KANYER: Sure, Your Honor. We are asking
- 2 the Court to exclude the testimony of Joseph Dianto for
- 3 three reasons. First, it was not -- the subpoena was not
- 4 properly served. Mr. Dianto has not, in fact, received
- 5 the subpoena, and it was not -- so it was not personally
- 6 served. There were no fees tendered with that service.
- 7 And the rules do require personal service, and it does
- 8 require it to, in fact, be served to the named party. So
- 9 we request on that grounds that the Court exclude Mr.
- 10 Dianto's testimony.
- If the Court were to find that it was properly
- 12 served, which we don't think it was, we would ask the
- 13 Court to quash the subpoena because it did not allow
- 14 reasonable time to comply, as Mr. Dianto has not, in
- 15 fact, actually received the subpoena, but was only even
- 16 notified either Monday or Tuesday after we spoke. He is
- 17 actually not in Philadelphia, where we believe it was
- 18 served -- or it was mailed. He lives in Atlanta, which
- 19 is more than 400 miles from where trial is scheduled, and
- 20 he's not a party or a party officer. So we believe it
- 21 would incur substantial burden and expense.
- 22 In addition, reason number two, Mr. Dianto
- 23 is not listed in Plaintiffs' pretrial memorandum of
- 24 intention of law. In fact, the Court, in its April
- 25 10th scheduling order, required Plaintiffs to list

5 12/6/2019

- 1 their witnesses. Plaintiff did not list any witnesses,
- 2 and they did not list Mr. Dianto, and they have not
- 3 sought leave to amend their witness list. And so we
- 4 believe that they violated the Court's order, and we ask,
- 5 again, that the Court would exclude the testimony of Mr.
- 6 Dianto.
- Reason number three is we believe that's he's
- 8 not relevant. I spoke with him this morning. He does
- 9 not know anything about the Tahas or this case. You
- 10 know, he was managing at that time in 2006, you know,
- 11 3,500 employees. Documents were regularly generated with
- 12 his name. So we don't believe he would have any relevant
- 13 testimony. The documents that Plaintiff referenced are
- 14 all in relation to a year that was dismissed, tax year
- 15 2004.
- 16 And, finally, this is a de novo proceeding. So
- 17 we don't believe that he's relevant. So in sum, Your
- 18 Honor, we have -- for those three reasons, we believe
- 19 that the testimony should be excluded.
- 20 THE COURT: Mr. Taha, I take it you really want
- 21 Mr. Dianto's testimony because he might have percipient
- 22 -- or be a percipient witness as to the bad debt aspect
- 23 of the refund claim. Is that correct?
- MR. TAHA: Yes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: You do have other evidence, though,

6 12/6/2019

- 1 that relates to the bad debt aspect. Is that correct?
- 2 MR. TAHA: That is correct, but the major
- 3 evidence of owner is a 2004 amended tax return that was
- 4 filed within the seven-year statute, to which Mr. Dianto
- 5 determined it was correctly filed.
- 6 THE COURT: We're really dealing with 2003 at
- 7 this point.
- 8 MR. TAHA: No --
- 9 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 10 MR. TAHA: No, Your Honor. We are dealing with
- 11 the whole claim.
- 12 THE COURT: That is --
- MR. TAHA: 2002 and 2003 as amended, or 2004
- 14 inclusive of 2002 and 2003 as you, Your Honor, confirmed
- 15 during the April 5th telephonic conference that we're
- 16 going to deal with this as a de novo.
- 17 THE COURT: That's --
- 18 MR. TAHA: A brand new trial for the whole
- 19 claim --
- THE COURT: That is true.
- MR. TAHA: -- regardless whether it was filed
- 22 in 2002 and 2003 or both years amended and 2004, to which
- 23 Mr. Dianto determined it was correctly filed.
- 24 THE COURT: We are dealing with it as a de novo
- 25 claim, and it does relate to refund claims that were

7 12/6/2019

- 1 filed after the original returns were submitted. So the
- 2 Court confirms that. One of the problems is that the
- 3 subpoena appears not to have been properly issued. And
- 4 if the Court had concerns that there were no other way
- 5 for evidence of the bad debt aspect to be adduced at
- 6 trial, then I would have a concern about the ability of
- 7 the trial itself, but I am actually of the view that you
- 8 have other means of getting bad debt evidence in at
- 9 trial, Mr. Taha.
- 10 MR. TAHA: Yes, Your Honor, you are correct,
- 11 but that evidence which I have provided, and I'm going to
- 12 provide again in court during trial -- I'm sorry. During
- 13 trial, I will provide that evidence again, but out -- and
- 14 that evidence, Your Honor, was ignored -- respectfully --
- 15 ignored by Defendant and the Court. It was -- that
- 16 evidence was never, ever mentioned, Your Honor, in any
- 17 order or in any motion that Defendant filed.
- 18 THE COURT: Well, I understand that, but on the
- 19 other hand, we are trying the bad debt aspect of this
- 20 thing de novo. So you can adduce whatever evidence or
- 21 put forward whatever evidence you have on that particular
- 22 subject. I'm sure Ms. Kanyer would accept that
- 23 proposition.
- Ms. Kanyer?
- MS. KANYER: That's correct, Your Honor.

12/6/2019

8

- 1 THE COURT: All right. I think we're on the
- 2 same page, but --
- 3 MR. TAHA: Your Honor?
- 4 THE COURT: Yes.
- 5 MR. TAHA: Would you explain this -- what you
- 6 asked Ms. Kanyer that -- are you asking her about the
- 7 claim in full for both 2002 and 2003 individually filed
- 8 or for 2004 that was inclusive of the 2002 and 2003?
- 9 THE COURT: It has to --
- 10 MR. TAHA: Your Honor, let me define 2004
- 11 income tax -- amended income tax for tax refund. 2004,
- 12 the reason it was filed or reconsidered in lieu of 2002
- and 2003 claims was because 2004 was the year within
- 14 which the income -- shareholder income became bad debt.
- 15 That is the only reason. Otherwise either claim is
- 16 correct.
- 17 THE COURT: Well, I -- there's no doubt, at
- 18 least based on what the Court knows, but we have to prove
- 19 it at trial, that the promissory notes became worthless
- 20 in 2004. Well, at least, they became worthless in 2006,
- 21 but in any event, they probably became worthless in 2004,
- 22 as well. We can deal with that at trial. That's not
- 23 really an aspect of the dispute at issue, as the Court
- 24 understands it.
- But on the other hand, the Court is prepared to

12/6/2019

9

- 1 be educated at trial about what the circumstances really
- 2 were as these years were transpiring.
- MR. TAHA: Do I understand, Your Honor --
- 4 THE COURT: Yes?
- 5 MR. TAHA: -- that the promissory note has a
- 6 life?
- 7 THE COURT: Well, I'm sure it did. It probably
- 8 doesn't anymore.
- 9 MR. TAHA: No. My question, Your Honor, does
- 10 the promissory note have a life when it is issued?
- 11 THE COURT: Well, I'm sure it had a life, but
- 12 the question is whether or not it -- and Ms. Kanyer can
- 13 elaborate, but we can do this at trial, as well --
- 14 whether it actually constituted a bad debt or not. She
- 15 has raised arguments about whether or not it really was a
- 16 retention of capital.
- 17 Ms. Kanyer?
- 18 MS. KANYER: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 19 MR. TAHA: Okay. Your Honor, let me respond,
- 20 please.
- 21 THE COURT: Yes, certainly.
- MR. TAHA: The promissory -- the intent of the
- 23 promissory note was to prove that Shareholder Taha was
- owed money, and that money was lost. It's not to prove
- 25 the bad debt, per se, specifically. That was not the

12/6/2019

10

- 1 intent. There is -- there were promissory notes that
- 2 started being issued by Atek in -- for 1996 when Atek was
- 3 established. Do these notes have any value? Of course
- 4 not, not anymore, but the value of the promissory note is
- 5 to prove that the debtor owes money to the shareholder in
- 6 this case.
- 7 THE COURT: That --
- 8 MR. TAHA: It's not to prove the bad debt, Your
- 9 Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: That, we can address at trial. We
- 11 also, insofar as the bad debt is concerned, have to
- 12 address the nature of the promissory notes, and we have
- 13 to address the nature of the bankruptcy and I almost
- 14 think the dissolution proceedings. I'm not sure Atek was
- 15 ever formally dissolved. It just ceased functioning, but
- 16 we'll have to cover all that at trial.
- 17 MR. TAHA: Atek does not exist, Your Honor,
- 18 anymore.
- 19 THE COURT: Good.
- MR. TAHA: So it dissolved.
- 21 THE COURT: All right. That we have to cover
- 22 at trial.
- MR. TAHA: Sure.
- 24 THE COURT: But the Court will grant the motion
- 25 in limine to exclude the testimony of Joseph Dianto,

11 12/6/2019

- 1 primarily because the subpoena, at this juncture, is
- 2 improper, and it's not readily apparent that Mr. Dianto's
- 3 administrative views on the subject have any bearing on
- 4 the de novo trial of the issues that we have before us.
- 5 So we don't expect Mr. Dianto to appear as a witness at
- 6 trial in response to the subpoena. If he comes
- 7 voluntarily, that's another matter, but the Court is
- 8 dubious that that will happen. Okay.
- 9 Ms. Kanyer, anything further?
- 10 MS. KANYER: One administrative point. We had
- 11 talked, I believe on Monday, about Plaintiffs' exhibits.
- 12 We just wanted to let you know that we -- in printing our
- 13 exhibits for trial, we have also printed a set of
- 14 Plaintiffs' exhibits for the Court, the Court's clerk,
- 15 and also the witness box.
- 16 THE COURT: That is very gracious of you, and
- 17 I'm sure Mr. Taha appreciates it, as well.
- 18 MR. TAHA: Thank you.
- 19 Your Honor?
- 20 THE COURT: Yes?
- 21 MR. TAHA: I believe, if I recall right, you
- 22 requested three copies to be presented in trial for the
- 23 exhibits?
- 24 THE COURT: That's exactly what Ms. Kanyer has
- 25 just stated.

12/6/2019

12

- 1 MR. TAHA: I'm sorry. I didn't -- I just
- 2 wanted to confirm that, on my side, that I do present
- 3 three copies -- one for the Court, one for Ms. Kanyer,
- 4 and one for the court reporter, I believe.
- 5 THE COURT: Well, that's not necessarily right.
- 6 Ms. Kanyer indicates she has copied already what you had
- 7 put forward as your exhibits.
- 8 Ms. Kanyer, is that correct?
- 9 MS. KANYER: That's correct. Based on our
- 10 conversation from Monday, I was not clear whether or
- 11 not Plaintiffs were going to be able to provide copies.
- 12 And so since we were printing copies for ourselves, we
- 13 figured we could go ahead and print them for the Court
- 14 and the witness, and I believe we have five copies that
- 15 will be coming. It's either four or five copies that
- 16 are printed and on their way to Tampa as we speak.
- 17 THE COURT: Mr. Taha, does that answer your
- 18 question?
- 19 MR. TAHA: In a way, yes, it does, but I
- 20 thought initially that you, Your Honor, indicated that
- 21 whatever was provided in the memorandum of contentions
- 22 would not be used.
- THE COURT: Well --
- MR. TAHA: Therefore, you requested a fresh,
- 25 new copy of the exhibits, and that's what I was working

12/6/2019

13

- 1 on.
- THE COURT: Oh, I don't think you have to work
- 3 on it because it sounds like Ms. Kanyer has already done
- 4 it for you.
- 5 MR. TAHA: Okay. If that's acceptable to you,
- 6 Your Honor, that's fine with me, too.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. I think we're good all
- 8 the way around.
- 9 MR. TAHA: Because I'm confused, sorry.
- 10 THE COURT: No, I just think Ms. Kanyer has
- 11 done us a bit of a good turn here by making sure that we
- 12 have what we need in Tampa for the trial.
- 13 MR. TAHA: And that's appreciated, Your Honor.
- 14 I thank Ms. Kanyer about that. And so that saves me
- money.
- 16 THE COURT: It will, and also aggravation.
- MR. TAHA: And aggravation.
- 18 THE COURT: All right.
- 19 Ms. Kanyer?
- 20 MR. TAHA: I do sound aggravated, don't I, Your
- 21 Honor?
- THE COURT: Oh, no, I think we're fine. Okay.
- 23 I think we're ready, and we'll see each other in Tampa at
- 24 the appointed place at 9:30 in the morning.
- 25 Anything further?

14 Mohamad E. Taha, et al. v. USA 12/6/2019 1 MS. KANYER: No, Your Honor. 2 MR. TAHA: Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes? 3 4 MR. TAHA: Maybe -- maybe just a little note, short note --5 6 THE COURT: Yes? 7 MR. TAHA: -- about the opening statement? THE COURT: Yes? 8 9 MR. TAHA: I think you mentioned some time limitation of 15 minutes. 10 THE COURT: That's correct. 11 12 MR. TAHA: If it goes 16, 17 minutes, it's not 13 going to be acceptable. 14 THE COURT: Well, I urge you not to do that 15 because we have, you know, two days allocated for trial, and we ought to stick to a fairly concise time frame, but 16 what we need to do is to get all the testimony and 17 evidence in. The Court generally understands the case as 18 19 it stands. MR. TAHA: Well, Your Honor, the reason I'm 20 21 asking is because I'm facing multiple defendants here and 22 support by the Court. And I'm facing 12 years of the life of the claim, with six IRS centers. So, Your Honor, 23 24 I have to spell all of this out in my opening statement.

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

25

It's a must.

- THE COURT: Well, I understand --
- 2 MS. KANYER: Your Honor?
- THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Kanyer? 3
- 4 MS. KANYER: I just wanted to mention that
- David Pincus just stopped by. So I wanted to announce 5
- 6 him for the record.
- 7 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. PINCUS: Sorry I'm late, Judge. 8
- 9 THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was that, Mr.
- Pincus? 10
- MR. PINCUS: Sorry I was late. I wanted to get 11
- 12 a clean bill of health before I went down there, which I
- 13 do.
- THE COURT: All right. I must say, Mr. --14
- 15 MR. TAHA: I'm having problems hearing you,
- 16 Your Honor, but that's okay.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Taha, it is
- difficult to move around Washington today. It was 18
- 19 difficult last afternoon and evening, as well. It's just
- 20 that there are different causes. Today, there are sets
- 21 of protests in and around Washington, and there are other
- 22 things going on. But in any event, I think we're ready
- for trial. And if you go a minute or so over, Mr. Taha, 23
- 24 that's not going to pose a problem.
- 25 MR. TAHA: Okay, Your Honor. Thank you so

16 12/6/2019

- 1 much. And just to let you know and Ms. Kanyer, sometimes
- 2 I will experience hearing because I wear hearing aids.
- 3 So just for you to -- this should not be on the record,
- 4 but I've already said that I do get problems hearing at
- 5 times.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. That's not an unusual
- 7 circumstance for people, and we'll take that into account
- 8 at trial.
- 9 MR. TAHA: Especially with my age.
- 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Okay.
- MR. TAHA: You sound young, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: No, I try to act young, but I'm
- 13 not. But in any event, we'll just take it as it goes.
- 14 MR. TAHA: Well, Ms. Kanyer is obviously very
- 15 young, too.
- 16 THE COURT: I'm sure she thinks so, too.
- 17 MS. KANYER: I won't comment on that, Your
- 18 Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Whether she acts that way is
- 20 another matter. All right. Thank you.
- 21 MR. TAHA: Well, we need to spice our
- 22 conversation sometimes, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. TAHA: Thank you.
- THE COURT: Yep. Bye.

```
Mohamad E. Taha, et al. v. USA
                                                          12/6/2019
  1
                 MS. KANYER: Bye.
                 MR. PINCUS: Thank you.
  2
                 (Whereupon, at 2:46 p.m., the hearing was
  3
  4
      adjourned.)
  5
  6
  7
  8
  9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
```

Mohamad E. Taha, et al. v. USA 12/6/2019 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER I, Matthew P. Tate, court-approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-titled matter. DATE: 12/19/2019 s/Matthew P. Tate MATTHEW P. TATE, CERT